

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/833,673		04/13/2001	Shunpei Yamazaki	12732-029001	2129	
26171	7590	08/19/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.				MENGISTU	MENGISTU, AMARE	
P.O. BOX 1 MINNEAP	OX 1022 SAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2673		
				DATE MAILED: 08/19/200	DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/833,673	YAMAZAKI ET AL.			
Office Action	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Amare Mengistu	2673			
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATU THE MAILING DATE OF  Extensions of time may be availater SIX (6) MONTHS from the  If the period for reply specified a  If NO period for reply is specified.  Failure to reply within the set or	THIS COMMUNICATION.  able under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. bove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply I above, the maximum statutory period we extended period for reply will, by statute, later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINA</b> 3)☐ Since this application	,—					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above cl 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/s 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>4,7-9,12,</u> 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>5,6,10,11</u>	e pending in the application. aim(s) is/are withdraware allowed.  13,46,49-51,54 and 55 is/are object to restriction and/or	rejected. cted to.				
Application Papers			•			
10) The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not re Replacement drawin	quest that any objection to the ogsets of the correction of the corrections.	r.  epted or b)  objected to by the led  drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See  on is required if the drawing(s) is obgarder. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119					
12) Acknowledgment is  a) All b) Some  1. Certified cop  2. Certified cop  3. Copies of th  application f	made of a claim for foreign  * c) None of:  bies of the priority documents  bies of the priority documents  e certified copies of the prior  rom the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage			
	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 4,7-9,12,13,46,49-51,54,55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Shibata et al (6,147,451)** in view of **Kimura (6,518,941)** and **Jeong (6,008,801)**.
- 3. As to claims 4,7,9.12,46,49,51,54, **Shibata et al** (hereinafter **Shibata**) clearly teaches a display device comprising: a plurality of pixels (fig.1 [2]), each comprising a light emitting element (fig.3 [20]) comprising an anode (col.4 lines 42-47), a cathode (col.4, liens 42-47) and an organic compound layer provided there between (col.4, lines 46-44), a source signal line driver (fig.2 (32) [x-driver]) including a switching circuit for switching by means of a shift signal to plurality of pixels (fig.2 (33) "sample/ hold"). Shibata has failed to teach that an organic EL in which a digital video signal input to a switching circuit by means of shift signal to be inputted into said switching circuit.

The patent of **Kimura** is cited to teach that it is well known for an EL in which a digital video signal input (fig. 1(10210-10213)) to a switching circuit (fig.1 (10310-10313)) by means of shift signal (fig.1 (101)) to be inputted into said switching circuit (fig.1 (10310-10313)).

Application/Control Number: 09/833,673 Page 3

Art Unit: 2673

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention to have incorporated the digital signal by means of shift signal into the switching circuit as taught by **Kimura** into the organic EL display system of **Shibata**, because this will provide to have an improved luminous intensity of EL elements.

Shibata (as modified by Kimura) has failed to disclose inverting the polarity of the video digital signal into said switching circuit. Jeong clearly teaches that it is conventional to inverting the polarity of a digital video signal in the switching circuit (fig.7 (POL-O, POL-E) and (MUX 250, MUX 260), col.4, lines 15-37, col.5, lines 50-56, col.6, lines 42-53).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have been motivated to have used **Jeong's** polarity inversion method into the system of **Shibata**, because this will reduce the power consumption and chip size for the driver.

As to claims 8,13,50,55, it is well known for a telephone, camera or head up display a PC to have be a light emitting display.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5-6,10-11,47-48,52-53 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-13,46-55 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amare Mengistu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4880. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, T-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (703) 305-4938. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Amare Mengistu

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2673

A.M

August 16, 2005